

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,514	07/25/2003	Toshio Fukuda	075834.00419	3253
33448	7590 10/14/2004	EXAMINER		INER
ROBERT J. DEPKE LEWIS T. STEADMAN			SHAH, MANISH S	
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 SOUTH DEARBORN 30TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>-18</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,514	FUKUDA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Manish S. Shah	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7/6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7/4,7/5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	∧ □	(PTO 442)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-5, 7/4 & 7/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (# US 6383275) in view of Ishikawa et al. (# US 4960684).

Lin discloses an ink for use in ink jet recording, the ink includes a dye (column: 14, line: 15-20); water (column: 5, line: 45-55) and organic phosphonic compound (column: 9, line: 5-10), wherein organic phosphonic compound content in the range of 0.001 to 10% by weight (column: 9, line: 55-60). They also disclose a inkjet recording method including step of ejecting an ink from nozzle on to recording medium (column: 20, line: 5-45). They also disclose that the ink jet recording apparatus including recording head including reservoir for accommodating ink, a heater device, and a nozzle (column: 20, line: 20-45).

Lin differs from claim of the present invention is that the organic phosphonic compound being represented by the formula:

Application/Control Number: 10/627,514

Art Unit: 2853

Ishikawa et al. teaches that to improve the liquid stability, liquid composition includes the organic phosphonic compound represented by the formula as shown below (column: 3, line: 19-25; column: 8, line: 45-55; column: 12, line: 50-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the organic phosphonic compound of Lin by the aforementioned teaching of Ishikawa et al. in order to improve the liquid stability, which increases the storage stability of the ink composition.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 6 & 7/6 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The primary reason for allowance of claims 6 & 7/6 is that applicant's claimed invention includes a method for treating an inkjet recording head including introducing a surface treating solution containing an organic phosphonic compound into the reservoir

Application/Control Number: 10/627,514 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

not filled with ink so as to put the heater device in contact with the surface treating solution; and heating the heater device so that the surface of the heater device is treated with the organic compound. It is this limitation, expressed in the claimed combination not found, taught or suggested in the prior art, that makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- (1) Mielke et al. (# US 6770331) discloses the colorant preparation including a dye (column: 4, line: 15-65), water (see Abstract) and an organic phosphonic compound (column: 12, line: 55-66).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,514 Page 5

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Manish S. Shah Examiner Art Unit 2853

MSS 10/12/04